

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLIC	ANT AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
08/012,269 02/01/3	93 KWON	В	de remarket engalementeleksingt Statestonschild blev av autorigenen von maket		
		ELLIS, J EXA	ELLIS, J EXAMINER		
BARNARD & BROWN	18N1/0725				
306 STATE STREET, #2	20	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ITHACA, NEW YORK 148	350	1813	14		
		DATE MAILED:			

07/25/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION												
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:												
e) <b>)</b> (e)	io oxid	,,v= d) ndod to ru	φ.	3	00	or continues to	run		from the dete of the final rejection			
ь) 🗀	expire event	s three mo	onths fro	om th	he date of ti	he final rejection	or es of the meil	lina date	of this Advisory Action, whichever is leter. In enths from the dete of the final rejection.	no		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response end the eppropriete fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee heve been filed is the date of the response and elso the dete for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or es set forth in b) above.											
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).												
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 7/1/94 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for ellowance:												
1.	The pro	posed an	nendme	ints t	the daim	end /or specific	ation will not be e	entered a	and the final rejection stands because:			
	e. 🔲 1	There is no presented.	o co nvir	ncing	showing u	nder 37 CFR 1.1	116(b) why the pr	roposed (	emendment is necessary and was not eerlier			
b. They raise new issues thet would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).												
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).											
	d. 🔲	They ere appeal.	not dee	med	to place the	e application in	better form for ap	peal by	meterially reducing or simplifying the issues fo	r		
	е. 🔲	They pres	ent add	lition	el claims wi	thout cancelling	e corresponding	number	of finally rejected cleims.			
	NOTE:											
										_		
										_		
2. 🔲	Newly the nor	proposed -alloweble	or ame claims	ndec	d daims		_ would be allow	ed if sub	omitted in e separetely filed amendment cancel	ling		
3. <b>X</b> 3	Upon ti be as fo	ne filing ar ollows:	appea	d, the	proposed	emendmen⊷	will be entered	☐ will a	not be entered end the status of the claims wil	ı		
	Claims	ellowed:		6	2			_				
		objected t		20	<u></u>							
	Claims	rejected: . Howeve			7	· ·	····	_				
	☐ Ap			e has	overcome	the following re	jection(s):					
4.	The aff	davit, exh	ibit or n	eque	st for recon	sideration has b	een considered l	but does	not overcome the rejection because			
	The effi		thibit wi	li not	be conside	ered because ap	plicant has not sl	hown go	od end sufficent reasons why it was not earlie	r		
_ The r	propose	d drawing	correct	ion	☐ has [	hes not bee	n approved by the	e examir	ner Ellis			
· ∐ Othe		J				·	,		JOAN ELLIS			
									PRIMARY EXAMINER			